No.



8500177

HHE UNITED STAYIES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE; PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Columbia Basin Seeds

Wilhereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, or importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different riety therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act. In United States seed of this variety (1) shall be sold by variety name only as of certified seed and (2) shall conform to the number of generations by the Owner of the rights, (84 stat. 1542, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

WHEAT

'Basin'

In Institution Watercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D. C. this 31st day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety.

Allest

Kenneth Heans

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

In Northern Secretary of Agriculture

	APPLICATION FOR	S. DEPARTMENT OF A SERICULTURAL MARKET WAREHOUSE & SEED PLANT VARIETY (Instructions on re	PROTEC	TICE	ATE	Appli if a p be iss	cation is required lant variety prote sued (7 U.S.C. 24	in order to determin ection certificate is to \$21). Information is certificate is issued
1.	NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	(mstructions on re	everse)	2. TEMPORARY DESI	GNATION	<u> </u>	S.C. 2426). ARIETY NAME	·
4, 4	Columbia Basin Se	eds			a	_		
4.	ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.)		Zin Cadal	Basin			Basin	LIGE CALL V
	1390 N. Frontage		Zip Code)	5. PHONE (Include area	code)	PVP	FOR OFFICIAL	USE ONLY
· · ·	Moses Lake, WA			509-765-3899			8500	177
6,	GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FA	MILY NAN	TE (Botanical)			DATE	
	Triticum Aestivum	ı G	raminea	ae		FILING	7/9/85	
8.	KIND NAME		9.	DATE OF DETERMINA	TION	··	8:30 X	A.M. P.M.
	Wheat, Common		$ q_{j} $	121/82	15 S	RECEIVED	\$ 1,800 DATE 7/9/85	
10.	IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS partnership, association, etc.) Partnership	S NOT A "PERSON," GI	VE FORM (OF ORGANIZATION (C	orporation,	FEES RE	AMOUNT FOR \$ 200. 00 DATE	CERTIFICATE
·						/ "	apr. 30	1990
11,	IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STA	TE OF INCORPORATION	ON			12. E	ATE OF INCOR	
a. b.	Exhibit A, Origin and Breed Section 52 of the Plant Various Exhibit B, Novelty Statemers Exhibit B, Novelty Statemers DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECSEED? (See Section 83(a) of the	ling History of the Variet icty Protection Act.)	y (See	c. Exhibit C, 6 from Plant d. Exhibit D, 1	<i>Variety Prote</i> Additional D	scripti ection escrip	on of the Variety Office.) tion of the Variet	у
16.	DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPEC LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF G	TEV THAT THE MADE		17. IF "YES" TO	TEM 16, WH	псн	S and 17 below) CLASSES OF PRO	No DUCTION
		No.		BEYOND BRE	_	_		<u> </u>
18.	DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE F	OR PROTECTION OF T	HE VARIE	TY IN THE U.S.?		Д не	gistered	X Certified
	A - 1 (7)			June 2	1, 1985		<u></u>	If "Yes," give date)
19		RED FOR SALE OR MA	ARKETED	N THE U.S. OR OTHER	B COUNTRI	FS7	□ No	
-	garage Maria					-0:	Yes (If "Yes," give names untries and dates)
							X No	
	The applicant(s) declare(s) than the second	adance with such tekn	lations as	may be applicable.			he application a	
V	he undersigned applicant(s) is listinct, uniform, and stable as Variety Protection Act.	у генаней III Зесион 4 К	i, and is e	ntitled to protection	under the p	rovis	ions of Section	at the variety is 42 of the Plant
A	applicant(s) is (are) informed to	that false representation	on herein d	an jeopardize protec	tion and res	ult i	n penalties.	
SIGN	ATURE OF APPLICANT					DA		
. (Colymbia Basin Seed	s/l					Tuno 21	1025
SIGN	ATURE OF APPLICANT	////				DA	June 21,	T307
FORM	MWA-470 (3-84) (Formerly LM	4 Helo,	insolete)			<u></u>	June 21,	1985
	,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						4

APPROVAL EXPIRES 4-30-85

WHEAT (Soft White Winter)

"BASIN"

14A. Exhibit A:

Pedigree:

Basin is a selection from a Nugaines line. It was selected for height, using 85cm as a selection base. It was also selected for disease absence. These selections were made over 5 generations. Three of the generations were strictly for height with the last 2 being for height and rust resistance. Basin has appeared stable for height for 4 generations of head row production and 2 generations of bulk seeding.

THERE APPEAR TO BE NO VARIANTS IN this Variety.

14B. Exhibit B. Novelty Statement

Basin is unique in it's height characteristics. All soft white winter wheats released to date are at the upper ranges of semi-dwarf, while Basin is at the lower ranges. Basin also has excellent resistance to the rust races prevalent in the Northwest.

Basin is most similar to Nugains in characteristics and they differentiate from each other in their height. Nugains under irrigation is of a standard height, that is 38 inches to 42 inches, whereas Basin exhibits a much shorter height of 30 inches to 34 inches. This gives Basin it's true novelty as a variety. No other variety of soft white winter wheat released to date has as short a height as Basin.

ADDENDUM

Exhibit "B"

"BASIN"

The now widely accepted variety "basin" is most typical in visual comparison with the variety nugaines, an older variety, which is now rarely grown. Basin is a soft white winter wheat grown in the soft white wheat areas of the Pacific Northwest. It currently could be compared to "Daws" winter wheat and to some extent "Stephens". However, there is one primary novelty characteristic that those two existing varieties do not have, and that is "Basin's" uniquely short plant height. "Basin's" height is most evident when grown under irrigated conditions where it can range from 30-33" in plant height when "Daws" ranges from 37-38" and "Stephens" from 36" to 40"; (refer to attached data). In high rainfall dryland areas the height of "Basin" can be in the range of 22" where "Daws" is 27" plus, and Stephens is 26". Even with this height difference, "Basin" will usually out-yield "Daws" and approaches the yeilds of "Stephens". "Basin's" higher test weight will run consistently higher than "Stephens" and usually over 60.0.

It is rated equal to or better than "Daws" and "Stephens" for common leaf diseases of the northwest.

Enclosed for your review are data sheets, certified seed buyers guide and sales brochure.



Columbia Basin Seeds

1390 N. Frontage Rd. Moses Lake, Washington 98837 509 - 765-3898 or 765-3899

December 19, 1989

Mr. Eldon E. Taylor, Examiner Plant Variety Protection Office NAL Building, Rm.500 10301 Baltimore Blvd. Beltsville, MD. 20705-2351

Dear Mr. Taylor:

Subject: Wheat Application No. 8500177, "Basin"

I am submitting to you the data used to compare Basin to Nugaines. This data was collected over a period of two years by the University of Idaho. The data was presented to farmers primarily for yield, however height and test weight were part of the data base.

Overall Plant Height (5 plot average 1985)	30.25	in.				
Overall Nugaines Height (5 plot average 1985)	28.80	in.				
Overall Basin Height (5 plot average 1985)	25.10	in.				
Using 2.54 cm./in.						
Basin is shorter than the standard variety's by	13.10	cm.				
Basin is shorter than Nugaines (Most similar) b	y 9.40	cm.				
Not as many plots included Basin and Nugaines in 1986. However an indication of the difference in height is indicated in the test results at Genesee Idaho.						
Average overall height (All variety's)	33.4	in.				
Average overall height of Nugaines	32.6	in.				

Average overall height of Basin

24.7 in.

Basin shorter than varieties considered

8.7 in/22.1 cm.

Basin shorter than Nugaines (Most similar)

7.9 in/20.1 cm.

This data was supplied to me by the University of Idaho. Our own test were conducted under similar conditions but in a much narrower range. Under irrigation or under high rain fall conditions the height difference is much more pronounced.

This data should be added to the novelty statement Exhibit B.

Table . Agronomic performance of 13 soft white winter wheat varieties and advanced lines grown under dryland conditions at Genesee, Idaho during 1985.

Variety	Yield	1	Test Weight	Plant Height	
	-bu/ac-*	-rank-	-lb/bu-	-in-	
Basin				23.6	
Cashup				27.9	
Crew (Club)				∂ 29.0	
Daws				29.0	
Dusty				28.5	
Hill81				31.4	
Hyslop			•	26.9	
Lewjain	· .			29.2	
Nugaines				27.7	
Stephens				29.2	
Tres (Club)	•			29.6	
Tyee (Club)				28.4	
OR8113				29.6	
Ave.				28.5	
SE				0.42	

^{*}Yield based on 60 lb/bu test weight.

Table . Agronomic performance of 13 soft white and 5 hard red winter wheat varieties and advanced lines grown under dryland conditions near Potlatch, Idaho during 1985.

Variety	Yie	Lđ	Test Weight	Plant Height
	-bu/ac-*	-rank-	-1b/bu-	-in-
SOFT WHITE				•
Basin**		•	$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$	24.8
Cashup**		e e		27.7
Crew (Club)				28.6
Daws	i e		N.	28.9
Dusty `				28.6
Hill 81				31.1
Hyslop				24.6 28.6
Lewjain				26.5
Nugaines Stephens				30.6
Tres (Club)				28.9
Tyee (Club)			•	28.6
OR8113 (Malcolm)				30.0
Ave.		•	·	28.3
S (mean)		4		0.41
				•
HARD RED				
		•		
Hatton				38.0
Norwin				28.5
Weston				41.5
ID0301				34.4
WA6816 (Batum)				33.2 35.1
Ave.		•		0.87
S (mean)				0.07

^{*} Yield based on 60 lb/bu test weight.

^{**}Proprietary (PVP).

Table 1. Agronomic performance of 14 soft white winter wheat varieties and advanced lines grown under irrigation near Declo, Idaho during 1985.

Variety	Yiel	đ	Test Weight	Plant Height	Lodging
	-lb/ac-*	-ranķ-	-lb/bu-	-in-	-%-
Basin**	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0
Cashup**			•	. Alg	0
Crew (Club)					20
Daws				•	0
Dusty					0
Hill 81				•	. 0
Hyslop	*				0
Lewjain					0 / 0
Nugaines Stephens					0
Tres (Club					0
Tyee (Club)					5
OR8113				•	0
Daws/Stephens					0
(50/50 Mix)					
Ave.					_
S (mean)	, , ,			•	_

^{*} Yield based on 60 lb/bu test weight.

^{**}Proprietary (PVP).

Table 1. Agronomic performance of 13 soft white and 5 hard red winter wheat varieties and advanced lines grown under irrigation near Twin Falls, Idaho during 1985.

Variety		Yield		Test Weight	Plant Height
		-bu/ac-*	-rank-	-1b/bu-	-in-
Soft White	٠				
Basin**					23.7
Cashup**		•			26.1
Crew (Club)					² 27.7
Daws					27.6
Dusty			•		27.4
Hill 81					30.0
Hyslop					26.2
Lewjain		$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$			29.3
Nugaines					25.5
Stephens					28.5
Tres (Club)					27.9
Tyee (Club)					26.3
OR8113 (Malcolm)					29.3
Ave.					27.3
S (mean)		•			0.85
Hard Red					
Hatton					44.9
Norwin					31.1
Redwin					44.0
Weston					46.8
WA6816			•		37.8
Ave.					40.9
S (mean)					0.74

^{*} Yield based on 60 lb/bu test weight.

^{**}Proprietary (PVP).

Table . Agronomic performance of 13 soft white winter wheat varieties and advanced lines grown under dryland conditions at Tammany, Idaho during 1985.

Variety	Yield	Test Weight	Plant Height	
	-bu ac-l-+ -rank-	-1b bu ⁻¹ -	-in-	
Basin			24.6	
Cashup		•	31.2	
Crew (Club)			32.5	
Daws			32.1	
Dusty			33.3	
Hill81			35.5	
Hyslop			29.8	
ewjain			33.5	
lugaines		en e	30.4	
Stephens			30.7	
res (Club)			32.9	
Tyee (Club)		•	33.0	
DR8113			33.4	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$			31.8	
x_ se _X			0.79	

⁺Yield based on 6 lb bu-1 test weight.

Table 7. Agronomic performance of 17 soft white winter wheat varieties and advanced lines grown under dryland conditions near Genesee, Idaho during 1986†.

Variety	Test Yield‡ Weight	Grain Protein	Plant Height
<u> </u>	-bu/acranklb/bu-	-%-	-in-
Basin§			24.7
Cashup§			31.5
Crew (club)		•	35.0
Daws			32.2
Dusty			33.3
Hill81			36.4
Lewjain			33.4
Malcolm			33.8
Nugaines			32.6
0180855		· ·	33.8
Oveson (OR7996)			35.5
Stephens			33.3
Tres (club)			34.0
Tyee (club)	·		33.9
MA7163			34.1
WA7166 (club)			33.9
PB1/80WW3§			33.8
Ave	•	•	33.4
LSD (p=0.05)			2.8

tho lodging observed among soft white winter wheat entries at this location during 1986.

#Yield based on 60 lb/bu test weight.

§Proprietary (PVP).

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN AND SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20785

EXHIBIT C

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Columbia Basin Seeds ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code)	P VPO NUMBER 8500177
1390 N. Frontage Rd	VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY
Moses Lake, WA 98837	DESIGNATION
Diagraphy constitution and the state of the	
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this varietae a zero in first box (e-8. 0 8 9 or 0 9) when number is either 99	riety in the boxes below.
I. KIND:	
1 1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER 4 = SPELT 5 = POLISH	6 = POULARD 7 = CLUB
2. TYPE:	2
	SOFT 3 = OTHER (Specify) HARD
1 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify)	
3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:	
2 3 0 FIRST FLOWERING 2 3	5 LAST FLOWERING
4. MATURITY (50% Flowering):	
0 0 NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN	ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS
0 5 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head):	
0 8 5 CM. HIGH	
0 0 CM. TALLER THAN	
1 0 CM. SHORTER THAN	ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS
5. PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse): 7. ANTHER	LEMM! 3 - NOOMME
2 1 - 45:10 4 - 55:10	ELLOW 2 = PURPLE
, STEM:	
1 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 1 Waxy	bloom: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
Hairiness of last internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 1 Internot	odes: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
	CM. INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF
. AURICLES:	
1 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT 1 Hairing	ess: I = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
LEAF:	
Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED 2 booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify): 1 Flag le	eaf: 1 = NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED
I Hairs of first had about 15 approx	loom of flag leaf sheath: = ABSENT 2 = PRESEN
1 2 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First lost below float to a	M. LEAF LENGTH (First leaf below flag leaf):
ORM LMGS 470-6 (6-82) (Formerly Form LPGS 470-6 (3-79), which may be used)	

			9300177
11. HEAD: 2 Density: = LAX	2 = DENSE	2 Shape: 1 = TAPE 4 = OTHE	RING 2 = STRAP 3 CLAVATE
4 Awnedness: 1 ≈ A	WNLESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED	3 = AWNLETED 4 = AWN	ED
2 Color at maturity:	1 = WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 5 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTH	4 = RED IER (Specify):	1
1 0 CM. LENGTH		1 0 MM. WIDTH	
12. GLUMES AT MATUE Length: 1 = 5HOR 3 = LONG	RITY: T (CA. 7 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.) (CA. 9 mm.)		DW (CA. 3 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 3.5 mm. CA. 4 mm.)
	TING 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED ARE 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULATE	Beak: l = OBTUS	E 2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE
13. COLEOPTILE COLO	R:	14. SEEDLING ANTHOC	YANIN:
	RED 3 = PURPLE	1 1 = ABSENT	2 = PRESENT
15. JUVENILE PLANT G	ROWTH HABIT:		
2 I = PROSTRATE	2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = ERE	СТ	
16. SEED:			
1 Shape: I = OVATE	2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL	1 Cheek: I = ROUNE	DED 2 = ANGULAR
2 Brush. 1 = SHORT.	2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG	Brush: 1 = NOT C	OLLARED 2 = COLLARED
Phenol reaction (See instructions):	1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROW 4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK	Ń	
1 Color: 1 = WHITE	2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE	5 = OTHER (Specify)	
6 MM. LENGTH	3. 75 MM. WIDTH	45.3 GM. PER 1000	SEEDS
17. SEED CREASE:	Sim	il <u>ar</u> to Nugaines	
1 1	LESS OF KERNEL WINOKA	Depth: 1 = 20% O	R LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'
	ESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'		R LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'
	AS WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI'	3 = 50% OF	R LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI'
STEM RUST	ted, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant) LEAF RUST	STRIPE RUST	
2 (Races)	2 (Races)	2 (Races)	0 LOOSE SMUT
0 POWDERY MILDEW	2 BUNT	OTHER (Specify)	
19. INSECT: (0 = Not Test	ed, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		
0 SAWFLY	1 APHID (Bydv.)	1 GREEN BUG	O CEREAL LEAF BEETLE
OTHER (Specify)	HESSIAN FLY	0 GP 0 A	0 B 0 c
	RACES:	0 D 0 E	0 F 0 G
20. INDICATE WHICH VARIE	ETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S	UBMITTED:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
Plant tillering	Nugaines	Seed size	Nugaines
Leaf size	Nugaines	Seed shape	Nugaines
Leaf color	Nugaines	Coleoptile elongation	Nugaines
Leaf carriage	Nugaines	Seedling pigmentation	Nugaines
	INSTRUC	CTIONS	

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)

LEAF COLOR: Nickerson's or any recognized color fan should be used to determine the leaf color of the described variety.

14D. Exhibit D

Basin Soft White Winter Wheat (formerly experimental line 89)

Basin is a common type, high yielding, semi-dwarf, white winter wheat, particularly suited for higher rainfall and irrigated production areas. Basin is less winter-hardy than Daws, but more so than Stephens. Basin usually outyields Stephens and Daws by 10% or more hwere rainfall is 14 to 16 inches or more. Basin shows excellent resistance against common stripe, stem, and leaf rust. Basin shows excellent lodging resistance, being it is shorter than Stephens or Daws. Test weight is usually 2-3 lbs over Stephens.

Plant height:

32 - 34 inches

Planting rates:

40 - 50 1bs dryland

50 - 60 lbs irrigated

Planting dates:

September 15 to October 1 dryland

September 15 to November 1 irrigated





Columbia Basin Seeds

Route 3, Box 271
Moses Lake, Washington 98837
U.S.A.

Columbia Basin Seeds Proprietary Winter Wheat

1983-1984 Yield Data

	<u>Variety</u>		<u>Yield</u>	Test Weight	Planting Rate
	Exp. 88	(Cashup)	57.6 bu/acre	62 lbs.	18 lbs/acre
	Exp. 89	(89)	66.1 bu/acre	61.5 lbs.	18 lbs/acre
:	Daws		48.5 bu/acre	61 lbs.	18 lbs/acre
*	Stephens	•	51.3 bu/acre	59 lbs.	18 lbs/acre
*	•				
	1984 Tri		•		•
	<u>Variety</u>	& Location	<u>Yield</u>	Test Weight	Planting Rate
	Cashup,	Quincy	153.3 bu/acre	61 lbs.	51 lbs/acre
	Cashup,	Warden	122 bu/acre	62 lbs.	66 lbs/acre
	Cashup,	Royal Slope	113 bu/acre	61 lbs.	65 lbs/acre
	89,	Moses Lake	159.7 bu/acre	61 lbs.	71 lbs/acre
	Stephens	, Moses Lake	125.6 bu/acre	60 lbs.	63 lbs/acre
**	Daws,	Hartline	64.5 bu/acre	61 lbs.	60 lbs/acre
**	89,	Hartline	70.1 bu/acre	61.5 lbs.	60 lbs/acre
**	Daws,	Hartline	61.0 bu/acre	60.5 lbs.	60 lbs/acre
***	Cashup,	Sprague	69.2 bu/acre	59.3 lbs.	70 lbs/acre
***	Daws,	Sprague	68.9 bu/acre	59.0 lbs.	70 lbs/acre
	Ľukė,	Lind	38.0 bu/acro	Not available	38 lbs/sere
	Tyee,	Lind	33.6 bu/acre	11	38 lbs/acre
	Daws,	Lind	40.3 bu/acre	tt .	38 lbs/acre
•	Cashup,	Lind	45.2 bu/acre	62.0 lbs.	38 1bs/acre
	* Yield *	ediusted from 20#	coeding mate		

^{*} Yield adjusted from 30# seeding rate.

Our business is seed, through closely monitored seed crops. Foliar application of important nutrients and the use of 10-52-10 and seaweed on our seed. We can consistently give our customers quality seed, which contain higher energy levels. The end result being more bushels in your bin.

^{**} Data averaged over 2 replications.

^{***} Data averaged over 3 replications.

1987 DRYLAND - RUFF

Variety	Plant Hgt	Protein	Test Wgt	Yield
SOFT WHITES				•
Stephens	26"	N/A	50.3	39.0 Bu
Hill 81	29"	N/A	61.7	34.7 Bu
Basin *	22"	N/A	60.8	34.7 Bu
Dusty	25"	N/A	60.6	36.8 Bu
Lewjain	27"	N/A	59.3	35.2 Bu
Cashup *	27"	N/A	60.2	38.0 Bu
Daws	27"	N/A	60.0	38.0 Bu
CLUB WHEATS				•
Tres	27"	N/A	59.3	42.9 Bu
Moro	33"	N/A	58.5	37.4 Bu
Crew	28"	N/A	58.9	44.0 Bu
	-			
HRW				
Batum	29"	11.4%	59.8	39.0 Bu
Hatton	29"	8.5%	64.6	30.3 Bu
Weston	32"	7.3%	61.5	34.7 Bu
Hawk *	25"	6.4%	56.7	34.1 Bu
Thunderbird *	28"	N/A	63.5	28.6 Bu

^{*} PVP Variety

Sown 9-17-86 by Northrup King

Harvested 7-23-87 Foundation Seed Service

40 lbs per acre seeding rate

All seed treated with Vitavax-200, Lindane, and Super Seed Feed Replicated 4 times

1987 MARLIN TRI-MATIC IRRIGATED

<u>Variety</u>	Plant Hgt	Protein	Test Wgt	Yield
SOFT WHITES				
Stephens	36"	N/A	55.7	126.5 Bu
Hill 81	40"	N/A	62.0	115.4 Bu
Basin *	30"	N/A	60.2	119.4 Bu
Dusty	36"	N/A	59.2	85.2 Bu
Lewjain	36"	N/A	58.7	98.3 Bu
Cashup *	32"	N/A	61.1	129.6 Bu
Daws	37"	N/A	62.1	115.4 Bu
CLUB WHEAT				
Tres	38"	N/A	59.3	102.9 Bu
Moro	40" .	N/A	58.7	77.1 Bu
Crew	37"	N/A	59.0	101.3 Bu
HRW				
Batum	40"	11.6%	59.9	94.3 Bu
Hatton	38"	11.5%	63.7	60.5 Bu
Weston	41"	N/A	61.9	84.2 Bu
Hawk *	34"	12.0%	63.2	110.4 Bu
Thunderbird *	36"	11.0%	62.3	50.9 Bu

* PVP Variety

Sown 9-17-86 by Northrup King
Harvested 7-23-87 by Foundation Seed Service
60 lbs per acre seeding rate
Seed treated with Vitavax-200, Lindane and Super Seed Feed
Replicated 4 times

<u>Variety</u>	Plant Hgt	Procein	Test Wgt	Yield
SOFT WHITE				
Stephens	40"	N/A	59.5	120.1 Bu
Hill 81	42"	N/A	60.7	116.7 Bu
Basin *	33"	N/A	60.0	112.3 Bu
Dusty	39"	N/A	60.6	117.6 Bu
Lewjain	42"	N/A	60.7	104.6 Bu
Cashup *	37"	N/A	60.8	117.3 Bu
Daws	38"	N/A	61.7	101.6 Bu
CLUB WHEAT				
Tres	43"	N/A	58.5	107.2 Bu
Moro	47"	N/A	57.3	68.1 Bu
Crew	42"	N/A	58.7	105.7 Bu
HRW			-	

8.9%

N/A

10.3%

9.6%

11.2%

9.9%

9.5%

9.6%

9.97

9.8%

9.6%

9.8%

58.6

63.2

60.2

62.2

61.4

59.0

61.6

58.6

60.3

62.0

59.4

60.4

RILL IRRIGATED

1987

Batum

Hatton

Weston

Hawk *

Thunderbird *

Kamzler **

BU-17 **

Okapi **

Corona **

Archer * (1)

Windridge (1)

Bounty 205 * (2)

MOSES LAKE

Sown 10-1-86 by Western Plant Breeders

Harvested 7-24-87 by Foundation Seed Service

41"

49"

46"

38"

40"

46"

36"

47"

43"

37"

47"

45"

60 lbs per acre seeding rate

Seed treated with Vatavax-200, Lindane, and Super Seed Feed Replicated 4 times

93.2 Bu

71.1 Bu

86.1 Bu

104.0 Bu

87.0 Bu

110.3 Bu

106.1 Bu

112.7 Bu

109.1 Bu

98.5 Bu

78.9 Bu

97.8 Bu

^{*} PVP Variety

^{**} Not commercially available

⁽¹⁾ Treated with Vitavax-200 only

⁽²⁾ Not treated

1987 PULLMAN NURSERY

Variety	Protein	Test Wgt	<u>Yield</u>
SOFT WHITES			
Scephens	N/A	59.9	114.3 Bu
Hill 81	N/A	60.4	114.3 Bu
Basin *	N/A	60.3	102.7 Bu
Dusty	N/A	60.1	102.9 Bu
Lewjain	N/A	58.1	107.3 Bu
Cashup *	N/A	60.5	107.5 Bu
Daws	N/A	60.9	101.1 Bu
CLUB WHEATS			
Tres	N/A	59.5	104.9 Bu
Moro	N/A	58.6	86.2 Bu
Crew	N/A	58.8	103.7 Bu
HRW			
Batum	9.7%	57.7	110.7 Bu
Hatton	10.4%	63.0	78.9 Bu
Weston	12.1%	61.4	88.3 Bu
Hawk *	10.5%	62.8	99.6 Bu
Thunderbird *	12.67	63.1	82.4 Bu
HRW-4A Kamzler **	11.3%	57.3	89.9 Bu
HRW-4B BU-17 **	11.3%	60.5	90.4 Bu
HRW-4C Okapi **	10.3Z	57.1	77.6 Bu
HRW-4D Corona **	10.9%	58.2	92.3 Bu
Archer * (1)	N/A	61.0	90.3 Bu
Winridge (1)	10.5%	61.2	74.2 Bu
Bounty 205 * (2)	11.1%	61.2	98.3 Bu

^{*} PVP Variety.

^{**} Not commercially available, sown @ 20 lbs per acre Sown 10-15-86 by Northrup King @ 60 lbs per acre Harvested 8-20-87 by Washington Foundation Seed Service Seed treated with Vitavax-200, Lindane, and Super Seed Feed

⁽¹⁾ Vitavax-200 only

⁽²⁾ No treatment
Replicated 4 times

QUALITY DATA BASIN

Enclosed is quality data from Pendleton Flour Mills, Inc. as well as the Western Wheat Quality Laboratory, Washington State University. This data points out that in overall rating, the quality is equal to or better than Daws and Stephens.

ABBREVIATION DESCRIPTION

We have implemented a computer program to tore, calculate, and retrieve our milling and baking data. The following is list of abbreviations used as column headings in the following tables of tata.

NURSCO - Nursery Code Number (located upper left corner of table).

LABNUM - Laboratory Number (first two digita crop year).

- Variety or selection name. VAR

- CI or Selection Identification Number. IDNO

- Test weight in lbs/bu. TWT

- Flour ash percent at 14% moisture basis.

FYELD - Percent of flour obtained.

MSCOR - Milling score.

FPROT - Flour protein percent at 14% moisture basis.

FABSC - Farinograph water absorption corrected to 14% moisture basis.

FPEAK - Farinograph mixing peak time in minutes.

FSTAB - Farinograph stability in minutes.

- Bake water absorption at 14% moisture basis. BABS

BABSC - Bake absorption corrected to mean protein of nursery.

MTIME - Optimum mixing time in minutes.

- Bread loaf volume observed in cc's. LVOL

LVOLC - Bread loaf volume (cc) corrected for protein to the mean protein of

the nursery. (See table 1 or 2, page ix)

BCRGR - Bread crumb grain rating code. (See table 3, page x)

CODE	MEANING	
1	Excellent	(S*)
2	Satisfactory	(S)
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Ø-S)
4	Questionable-Satisfactory	(Q-S)
5		(Q-\$)
6	Questionable 📑	(Q)
7	• •	(Q-N)
8	- Questionable-Unvatisfactory	(Q-U)
9	Unsafisfactory	(U)

- Cookie diamèter in cm's. CODI

CODIC - Cookie diameter (cm) corrected for protein to the mean protein of the nursery. (See table 1 or 2, page ix)

- Brookfield viscosity (observed) VISC

VISCC - Brookfield viscosity corrected for protein to the mean protein of the nursery.

CAVOL - Japanese Sponge Cake Volume in cc's.

SCSCOR - Sponge cake score (scale 1-100)

- Noodle weight increase (percent)

NYELD - Noodle yield.

NOSCORE- Noodle score (1-100)

MABS - Mixograph absorption at 14% moisture (%).

MABSC - Mixograph absorption corrected for protein (%).

MTYPE - Mixograph Type - From Mixograph Reference Chart.

NURSCO 22	WESTERN WIEAT QUALITY LAB. PULLMAN, WA.	לסאבוון נאם.	165	COLUMBIA BASIN SEEDS	N SELUS			·			
VARIETY 10NO CLASS THT FYELD FASH MSCOR FMOI MARSC	1			MOSES LAKE						D. WALKE	œ
STEPHENS STEPHENS DANS EXP. 88 CASHUP EXP. 88 CASHUP EXP. 88 CASHUP EXP. 89 CASHUP VARIETY EXP. 89 CASHUC EXP. 89 CASHUP EXP. 89 CASHUC EXP. 89 CASHUP EXP. 89 CASHUC EXP. 89 CASHUP EXP. 80 CAS	LABNUM	VARIETY	IDNO	CLASS	TWT	FYELD	FASH 1/	MSCOR	r prot	MABSC 3/	MIYPE
red Values Corrected to 145 Moisture Basis. 2/ Particularly Promising Overall Quality Characteristics. rption at 145 Moisture Corrected to 85 Protein. 5/ Protein. 5/ Promising Overall Quality Characteristics. rved Values Corrected to 85 Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Promising Overall Quality Characteristics. rved Values Corrected to 85 Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Promising Overall Quality Characteristics. Contracteristics. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. Contracteristics. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. Contracteristics. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. Contracteristics. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. Contracted to 85 Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. Contracted to 85 Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. Contracted to 85 Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. Contracted to 85 Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. 6/ Protein. Contracted to 85 Protein. 6/ Protein. </td <td>STEPHEI DAWS EXP. 84</td> <td>CASHUP BASIN</td> <td>C1017596 C1017419</td> <td>MMS MMS/9 MMS</td> <td>59.9 61.2 60.5 59.9</td> <td>72.5 69.5 69.2 67.0</td> <td>0.43</td> <td>84.3 78.9 76.9 72.9</td> <td>7.3 8.3 6.9</td> <td>52.3 52.1 51.2 50.9</td> <td>2L 3L 11</td>	STEPHEI DAWS EXP. 84	CASHUP BASIN	C1017596 C1017419	MMS MMS/9 MMS	59.9 61.2 60.5 59.9	72.5 69.5 69.2 67.0	0.43	84.3 78.9 76.9 72.9	7.3 8.3 6.9	52.3 52.1 51.2 50.9	2L 3L 11
STEPHENS CODIT	1/ Observed values/Absorption at 4/ Observed Values/Absorption at 4/ Observed Values/Absorption/Abs	14% Moisture Corrected to 8% Protein. es Corrected to 8% Protein.	200	sing Overal	mising Ov	cheracteri	ty Cheraci	teristics.			
STEPHENS DANS EXP. 88 (#SHLLP) EXP. 89 (5) ASILL SWW 8.71 8.64 1200 68.0 372 SWW 8.54 8.57 1200 68.0 372 SWW 8.89 8.77 1275 72.0 359 EXP. 89 (5) ASIL	ГАВИИМ	VARIETY	I DNO	CLASS	1000	COD1C -	CAVOL	SCSOR	WIIN	NOSCO	RMKS
	STEP! DAWS EXP. EXP.	CASHUP BASIN	C1017596 C1017419	MMS MMS MMS	8.71 8.54 8.89 9.02	8.64 8.57 8.77	1245 1200 1275 1265	73.0 68.0 72.0 73.0	357 372 359 352	77 78 73 73 66 Low	FYELD

PENDLETON FLOUR MILLS, INC.

P. O. BOX 1476 PENDLETON, OREGON 97801 TELEPHONE (503) 276-6511

November 22, 1983

Mr. Grant Torrey Columbia Basin Seed Route 3, Box 271 Moses Lake, WA 98837

Dear Grant:

The analysis for the two wheats are as follows:

Moisture	Protein	<u>Ash</u>	<u>Visc.</u>	Spread	Abs.	Pk.	<u>MT1</u>
Ex. 88 CASHUP 10.8 Ex. 89 BASIN 10.7	9.1 9.4					-	
Ex. 88 Flour 11.8 Ex. 89 Flour 12.1	8.1 8.0			7.43 7.92			
YIELD Patent	Clears	Midds	& Bran				
Ex. 88 71.4% Ex. 89 69.6%	2.7% 2.7%	25 27	-				

The wheats were very close as far as protein, moisture, ash, and viscosity. However, as a flour mill, we like flour with a cookie spread of about 8.0. As you can see, Ex. 89 is somewhat better than Ex. 88.

We like absorptions around 57%, which Ex. 89 shows. However, the absorption level on Ex. 88 of 54.5% is acceptable.

The yields of the wheats are close enough that there is no significant difference.

Overall, both wheats are of good quality and comparable to Stevens. We would rate Ex. 89 slightly better than Ex. 88.

If you have any other questions, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Matthew J. Terjeson Production & Quality

Control Manager

cc: Dan H. Breland

EXHIBIT E

The precise origins of this novel variety are unclear, but this applicant was originally approached by others for assistance in securing a Plant Variety Protection Certificate for the variety; initially by Steve Shear and later by Jay Gehrett, with both contacts prior to 1983. In my opinion, neither Mr. Shear nor Mr. Gehrett then had a clearly defined and distinct novel variety that would be eligible for Plant Variety Protection. The variety was then only partially developed, nondistinct, unstable, impure, and non-uniform when grown out. several years, further development was undertaken in attempted cooperation between this applicant and Jay Gehrett, but the precise interests of each party are disputed, and have been the subject of litigation improperly initiated in the Superior Court for Grant County, State of Washington. Said litigation has been dismissed with prejudice, and it is my understanding that Mr. Gehrett intends to soon file a new claim for declaratory relief and determination of our respective interests in the variety in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern the proper forum: District of Washington State.

Said litigation will determine the actual ownership of the variety. Mr. Gehrett could be determined to be the absolute owner of the variety or this applicant could be determined to be the absolute owner of this variety. More likely than not, the U.S. District Court will probably determine that both Mr. Gehrett and the applicant share an interest in the variety, with the actual percentages determined by the extent of contribution towards the end result.

For present purposes, ownership should be considered jointly reposed in Dale Walker and Jay Gehrett.

Jay Gehrett's address and telephone number are:

Route 3 Box 27 Walla Walla, WA 99362

Phone: (509) 525-0146

Exhibit E for both Basin and Cashup.